

This is the Smith Charts 'n More training (otherwise known as the I Hate Cookbooks Guide to Amateur Radio Electromagnetics) sponsored by the Chelsea Amateur Radio Club.



This class is aimed at addressing the electromagnetics of the FCC pool questions for upgrading an amateur radio license to both General and Extra classes. The study protocol is predicated upon you already knowing much of electromagnetics, but you just didn't know that you knew. With the knowledge gained in this series of classes you will be able to put Smith Charts to work for you.



Tonight, we want to prepare to examine polar coordinates. But before we can do that properly with understanding, we want to back up a little bit and look at a lot of things that we have looked at in the past so that we can eventually link everything together. We are going to use the Chelsea repeater as an example. Be warned, looking at these things may seem over whelming. When we eventually tie these things back to the Smith Chart you will then realize the beauty of the Smith Chart and how it simplifies things so much. But the road to that point is full of potholes.



Earlier in the year, Jim and I visited the Chelsea repeater located inside the Chelsea water tower on M-52 behind McDonalds. We took some measurements using the RigExpert model AA-600 antenna analyzer to determine what impedance the transmitter was looking into. In an ideal world we would like the transmitter to be looking into a 50 Ohm load (Z) because the transmitter was designed that way. What we found was that it was close showing a "magnitude impedance" (Z) of 36.9 Ohms. The word "magnitude" will be discussed later so, for the moment, don't worry about not understanding it.



Resistance (R) does NOT have a polarity and is independent of frequency. Resistance always CONSUMES energy. Reactance (X), however, DOES have a polarity. But here's a key piece of knowledge to stick away in your bag of tricks. REACTANCE neither consumes nor generates energy. That is a KEY piece of information to tuck away in your quiver filled with all sorts of tricks. Reactance STORES energy but at the same time it has plus and minus values that tell us which one of two reactances that it is. Resistance is just simply resistance while reactance has two flavors: inductive and capacitive. "Inductive reactance" has a plus value while "capacitive reactance" has a minus value. Just knowing that the reactance is plus tells us it is INDUCTIVE. Knowing the frequency AND the reactance allows us to solve for the actual inductance which causes the reactance. As a convenience, the instrument tells us the inductance but if it only gave us the vector impedance, we could have solved for it by other means.

And then there is a MAGNITUDE of impedance (Z). Again, if we only knew the vector impedance (36.9, 1.10) we could solve for Z. Z is what the repeater transmitter cares about. The vector impedance tells us about the nature of Z so that we are better able to manipulate it with things like designing tuning stubs.



So, what does all this RigExpert display mumbo-jumbo tell us? How can we represent it in a readable fashion to where we can do something with it? Look at the XY plot shown. The horizontal axis represents a normalized resistance. In the middle is unity or 1 Ohm. To the right of the vertical we have resistance greater than unity and to the left, less than unity.

The vertical axis represents reactance. There is a dichotomy much like we have for resistance where unity was at the fork in the road. With reactance, zero is at the fork in the road. Above the horizontal we have values greater than zero (inductive reactance) and be the horizontal we have values less than zero (capacitive reactance).

SPECIAL QUESTION: Recall with the Smith Chart we had "home plate." Is there a similar location with a "rectangular" perspective?



I apologize ahead of time for putting you through this but we are plotting these values normalized. It might seem easier if we were to plot them scaled to 50 Ohms but in the long-run you will benefit from understanding working with normalized values. Thus, we have converted our RigExpert instrument values to values normalized to 50 Ohms. Recall that home plate will show a 50 Ohm impedance as 1 Ohm normalized.

We first convert the RigExpert readings to normalized values of 0.74 and 0.022 Ohms. We then locate 0.74 Ohms on the horizontal. It is to the left of the vertical axis. We then locate 0.022 Ohms of reactance. Because if is greater than zero (a plus value), it goes above the horizontal axis, but just barely because it is almost zero.

QUESTON: Why is it significant that the reactance is almost zero? ANSWER: Because in an ideal world we want a zero-reactance telling us that our antenna is perfectly resonant.



If there is 0.740 Ohms and 0.022 Ohms normalized, why is not the effective impedance simply 0.742 Ohms? It is because those impedances are not "going in the same direction." That's fancy talk for phase which we will discuss later. There is thing that we can call "...as the crow flies." This is an important concept to take home with you. Z has two components, each going in a different direction. One pulls at the other like a tail-wind on an airplane. Think of it as if were an airplane that had a goal of heading due-west (R headed toward zero) but there were strong winds coming up out of the south (X_L) blowing it off course. If there had been no cross-winds coming up out of the south, the airplane would have traveled a little bit further to the west. Z is the actual impedance experienced by the circuit owing to the net result of its two components, R and X.



If you have a vector impedance, we know that it has a magnitude and a phase angle. Next week we will look at where the phase angle comes from, how to solve for it, and maybe even see it in action on the Smith Chart.







FCC Pool Question E5B12

What is admittance

- The inverse of impedance
- The term for the gain of a field effect transistor
- The turns ratio of a transformer
- The inverse of Q factor

• Hints to use if you don't remember while taking the test

- You are going to have to remember that admittance has something to do with or is related to impedances.
- Therefore
 - A field effector transistor answer is out of the question leaving 1, 3 & 4.
 - A transformer is disqualified leaving only 1 & 4.
 - You will likely recall that admittance is the inverse of something making the last elimination tough. You will have to remember that Q is not an impedance thing.







