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## Proposed:

- A J-Pole antenna will be modeled for computer simulation using the NEC antenna simulation engine developed by the Lawrence-Livermore Laboratory in the 1970s.
- We will be using the
- EZNEC and
- This application is particularly useful for presentations and beginners of antenna modeling.
- 4NEC2 implementations of the NEC engine.
- This application is more useful for the skilled NEC user owing to its symbology facilitation.
- Recommend that the viewer have reviewed the earlier"qualitative" presentation.
- The basics of modeling the J-Pole for simulation.
- This will be a deep-dive into the actual modeling.
- An Excel spreadsheet will be presented.
- "Deep Dive" is not intended to mean "for rocket scientists only."
- Nothing beyond what is expected of amateur extra class licensees will be presented.


## Questions You Must Consider

- What is a computer antenna model?
- An architecture of wires with stimulus
- What knowledge to you need?
- Success in modeling and simulation can only be of value if you already know most of the answers.
- You understand what the pieces are doing
- Might not understand their workings together
- Why Model Antennas?
- Apply changes to what you already know to be true
- What are must-haves
- Objectives
- What answers are sought?


## Why Model the J-Pole Antenna?

- The workings of the J-Pole are fully understood.
- Value-Add
- Little operational value can be gained by simulating a J-Pole antenna.
- Ideal subject matter for learning NEC modeling
- We know what the J-Pole is supposed to be doing
- Does our model reflect that?
- If yes---you are learning.
- If no-what have you missed.


## Benefits of Antenna Modeling

- Can serve as a second witness to a proof-of-concept for a design.
- Can model without parasitics to confirm anomalies.
- Useful in idea or concept value.
- Can provide information for otherwise unavailable information.


## Example of Usefulness

- Devise a scheme whereby a pair of center-fed dipoles, stacked vertically, generate an omnidirectional flattened pattern.
- The doughnut pattern is to be squashed so as to send less energy to the moon and more to the horizon.
- What distance needs to exist between the centers of the antennas?


## Example of Usefulness

- There exists a
- Phase difference and
- Feed-point separation distance
- Such that the doughnut patterns cancel and add that the net pattern is squashed to the horizon.
- Questions
- What phase difference and
- What feed-point distance
- Is required for a squashed pattern?
- Without a witness we cannot be certain that the simulation answer is correct but it is useful information nevertheless.


## The J-Pole Components

- The actual antenna
- A Z-transformer
- Unfold revealing center-fed dipole
- $Z$ at the center is 50 Ohms
- $Z$ at the ends is several thousand-High Z


J-pole antenna fed by coaxial cable (left) and parallel line
(right). The right diagram shows the standing waves of voltage ( $\boldsymbol{V}$, red bands) and current ( $\boldsymbol{I}$, blue bands) on the elements.

## J-Pole Impedance Transformations

- The actual antenna is $\lambda / 2$
- Is end-fed
- Therefore, is a high-Z input (typically 3 to $10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$
- $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{xform}}$
- low-Z input
- High-Z output
- End-Fed antennas have a Hi-Z input Z.


## J-Pole Component Lengths

- The actual antenna is $\lambda / 2$
- $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {xform }}$ length
- Is $\lambda / 4$
- Unfold and xformer becomes a half-wave dipole, center fed.



## Antenna is Defined as a Set of Wires

- Wires define the antenna
- These have specifications
- Diameter
- Composition (molecular structure)
- Length

- The numerical engine of NEC has requirements regarding the ratio of lengths and wire diameters.


## Critical Concept: Wires Have Segments

- An antenna wire
- may have any length.
- Is composed of segments
- The length of a segment
- Has a minimum
- Has a maximum
- A very long wire may have many segments.
- Each segment has a min/max limitation.


## Define the Antenna Specifications

- Resonant frequency: 146 MHz
- Velocity factor: 1.0

Note: For purposes of the NEC modeling software, we will ignore velocity factor. But that is only for now and for simplification purposes because of the nature of the NEC software.

- J-Pole Composition: Ladder Line
- Use AWG \#12 Wire
- Diameter $=0.002052$ meters
- Wire lengths shown at right $\rightarrow$
 slides.


## Purpose of $f p \_i n d e x$ Variable

- A crap-shoot knowing where to place the feed point.
- The feed point defined here as a function of fp_index.
- Is the equivalent of sliding the feed point up and down trombone style.
- Facilitates ease of iteration for a solution.

| w\# | End-to-End <br> Needed (m) |
| :---: | ---: |
| w1 | 0.475769838 |
| w2 | 0.025667163 |
| w3 | 0.0238125 |
| w4 | 0.025667163 |
| w5 | 1.514362588 |
| w6 | 0.0238125 |

## Rule \#1: Wire Segment Maximum Length

- The official rule: NEC requires: Segment Lengths $<\lambda / 10$
- EZNEC requires Segment Lengths $<\lambda / 18$
- All things considered, lets use Segment Lengths $<\lambda / 20$
- For convenience: $\quad \boldsymbol{S e g L e n}<\frac{\boldsymbol{c}}{\mathbf{2 0} \text { freq }}$
- $\lambda / 20=2.053356 \mathrm{~m} / 20=0.1026678 \mathrm{~m}$
- This applies to all wire segment lengths used anywhere in this design.


## Test $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ for a Maximum Length

- $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ length is 1.5144 meters
- Truth test:
- is $1.51 \mathrm{~m}<0.1026 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow$ FALSE
- What must be done

| w\# | End-to-End <br> Needed (m) |
| ---: | ---: |
| $w 1$ | 0.475769838 |
| $w 2$ | 0.025667163 |
| $w 3$ | 0.0238125 |
| $w 4$ | 0.025667163 |
| $w 5$ | 1.514362588 |
| $w 6$ | 0.0238125 |

- Segments only come in integer values
- $W_{5}$ must have at least 15 segments


## Rule \#2 a and b: Wire Segment Min Lengths

- NEC has two MINIMUM segment length requirements:

- Min len \#1: $\lambda / 1,000=0.002053$ meters
- Min len \#2: 4*Dia of \#12 wire $=0.008100$ meters
- Segment lengths > the greater of the two.


## Wire Segment Minimum Lengths

| $\lambda / 1,000=0.002053 \mathrm{~m}$ | $<0.0081$ Segment Length |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 Wire Dia $=0.0081 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |

- What is the largest number of segments allowed for wire $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ ?
- $1.514 \mathrm{~m} / 0.0081 \mathrm{~m}=186$
- Resulting requirement for $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ :
- $15<=W_{5}$ \# Segments <= 186


## Rule \#3: Circ $\rightarrow \frac{\text { circumference }}{\lambda} \ll$ Unity

- Because circumference embeds radius
- Max $f_{o}$ NEC may be used for given a wire size.
- This can be re-defined into more convenient terms.
- $f_{o \max (G H z)} \ll^{\frac{3 e 8 m}{s} v f} / \pi$ Diam
- But how much less? Don't matter, no how.
- \#1 AWG wire: fo $<0.82 \mathrm{GHz}$
- \#30 AWG wire: fo $<75 \mathrm{GHz}$


## Rule \#4: Unequal Segmentation Parallel Wires

- Unequal Segmentation for
- Parallel wires
- Within $\lambda / 20$ of each other
- Having an overlap of $\lambda / 10$.
- If you get this error, look for a mistake in your topology.


## Relational Segment Lengths

- A segment length must not differ by more than $5 x$ the segment length of another wire.


## Special Case: Angled Joining of Wires

- Must not allow the center of one wire to enter the radius of another wire-these are corners.
- Satisfied with: $\frac{\text { Segment Length }}{\text { Wire Diameter }}>4$
- Re-written for convenience:
- $\frac{\text { Segment Length }}{4 \text { Wire Diameter }}>$ unity
- For w1
- Will be using 5 segments
- $0.4873 \mathrm{~m} /(4$ * 0.002052 m$) / 5 \mathrm{seg}=11.8 \geqslant$ unity $\Rightarrow$ GOOD


## Test Wire Maximum Segment Lengths

- Because all the wires are the same size
- $\operatorname{Max} \# 1: \lambda / 20=0.10267 \mathrm{~m}$
- Max \#2: 4 * dia
- \#12 AWG $\rightarrow$ Dia $=0.002052 \mathrm{~m}$
- 4 * Dia $=0.008208 \mathrm{~m}$
- Net Max is the lesser of the two
- Max Seg Len $=0.008208 \mathrm{~m}$
- Applicability
- Because $\lambda$ has one value
- and there is one wire size
- Max Seg Len $=0.008208$ m


## Solve for Maximum Number of Segments

- MIN Segment length $=0.008208 \mathrm{~m}$
- The MAXIMUM number of segments per wire may now be solved for.
- $\mathrm{W}_{1}=0.475 / 0.0082=\operatorname{FLOOR}(57.9)=57$
- $\mathrm{W}_{2}=\mathrm{W}_{4}=0.02567 / 0.0082=\operatorname{FLOOR}(3.1)=3$
- $\mathrm{W}_{3}=\mathrm{W}_{6}=0.0238125 / 0.0082=\operatorname{FLOOR}(2.9)=2$
- $\mathrm{W}_{5}=1.514 / 0.0082=\operatorname{FLOOR}(184.6)=184$

| w\# | End-to-End <br> Needed (m) | Max |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| w1 | 0.475769838 | 57 |
| w2 | 0.025667163 | 3 |
| w3 | 0.0238125 | 2 |
| w4 | 0.025667163 | 3 |
| w5 | 1.514362588 | 184 |
| w6 | 0.0238125 | 2 |

## Solve for Minimum Number of Segments

- Max Segment length $=0.10266865 \mathrm{~m}$
- The MINIMUM number of segments per wire may now be solved for.
- $\mathrm{W}_{1}=0.475 / 0.103=$ CEILING(4.6)
- $\mathrm{W}_{2}=\mathrm{W}_{4}=0.02567 / 0.103=$ CEILING(0.249)
- $W_{3}=W_{6}=0.0238125 / 0.103=$ CEILING(0.23)
- $W_{5}=1.514 / 0.103=$ CEILING(14.7)
$=5$
$=3$
$=1$
$=15$

| Min | w\# | End-to-End <br> Needed (m) | Max |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | w1 | 0.475769838 | 57 |
| 1 | $w 2$ | 0.025667163 | 3 |
| 1 | $w 3$ | 0.0238125 | 2 |
| 1 | $w 4$ | 0.025667163 | 3 |
| 15 | $w 5$ | 1.514362588 | 184 |
| 1 | $w 6$ | 0.0238125 | 2 |

## Arbitrarily Pick Number of Seg Per Wire

- It is an arbitrary decision at this point how many segments to specify per wire.
- Any values within those limits are acceptable.

| Number Used | Min | W\# | End-to-End <br> Needed (m) | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 5 | w1 | 0.475769838 | 57 |
| 2 | 1 | W2 | 0.025667163 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | W3 | 0.0238125 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | W4 | 0.025667163 | 3 |
| 22 | 15 | w5 | 1.514362588 | 184 |
| 1 | 1 | w6 | 0.0238125 | 2 |

## EZNEC Offers Auto Segment

- A very handy feature to have available.


## NEC Wire Table



$Z$
$\begin{array}{ccc}X & Y & Z \\ 0, & \mathrm{~W}_{3} \text { len, } & h t A b v G n d+\mathrm{W}_{1} \text { len }+\mathrm{W}_{1} \text { len }\end{array}$ $0,0.0238 \mathrm{~m}, 2.5014 \mathrm{~m}$

- A table defines the topology of the antenna.


## Wes' Excel Spreadsheet

## Steps to Input to EZNEC

- Define the resonant frequency of the antenna.
- Define the ground options
- Define the wire list



# Ey. Wires - (3) <br> Wire Create Edit Other 

0 Trancforme
$\Gamma$ Coord Entry Mode $\Gamma$ Preserve Connections $\Gamma$ Show Wire Insulation $\Gamma$ Show Loss

| Wires |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | End 1 |  |  |  | End 2 |  |  |  | Diameter | Segs |
|  |  | $X$ (m) | $Y$ (m) | $Z$ (m) | Conn | $X$ (m) | $Y$ (m) | $Z$ (m) | Conn | (mm) |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ZeroLen | 1 | 1 | 1 | ZeroLen | 1 | 1 |
| 米 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Defining the Wire List

- Type in the wire list
- The format is:

| - | End 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | X | Y |
| - | Z |  |
| - | 0.023813 | 2.02567 |
| - 0 | 0.023813 | 2 |
| - 0 | 0 | 2 |
| - | 0 | 0 |
| - | 0 | 0 |
| - | 0 | 0 |

## Defining the Source List

- Type in the wire list
- The format is:
- Specified Pos.

Specified Pos. End2

- No Wire \# \% From E1
- 6
5050
\% From E1


Source Edit Other

| Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Specified Pos. |  | Actual Pos. |  | Amplitude | Fhase | Type |
|  |  | Wire \# | \% FromE1 | \% FromE1 | Seg | [V.A] | [deg.) |  |
| - | 1 | 6 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Plot the SWR

- Not very impressive.
- Resonates at 144 MHz
- Consider the gap.



## J-Pole Component Lengths

- The actual antenna is $\lambda / 2$
- $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {xform }}$ length
- Is $\lambda / 4$ but not where you would think.
- Includes the gap



## Wes'Stuff Closer Up



## Defining the Wire List

- Make two changes to account for the "missing"gap

| - | End 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | X | Y | Z ( 0.023813 2.02567



## Plot the Revised SWR

- impressive.
- Resonates at 146.3 MHz



## What About our Feed Point Entry Point?

- We guessed at a value of 0.05
- It was a good guess but can still be optimized.
- I've tried
- 0.04 which returns an SWR of 1.5.
- 0.07 which was but resonating at 148 MHz .
- But don't forget that we have been using a velocity factor of unity.

